

JAN 26 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RICHARD ALEXANDER MORALES,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 08-50005

D.C. No. CR-06-00367-ABC

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Audrey B. Collins, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 13, 2009^{**}

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, BYBEE, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Richard Alexander Morales appeals from the sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for possession of child pornography, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(5)(B). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291 and

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

we affirm.

Morales contends that the district court committed procedural error by failing to adequately explain its decision to impose a 25-year term of supervised release, and that the supervised release term renders his sentence substantively unreasonable under the totality of the circumstances. We conclude that the district court did not procedurally err, and that the sentence is substantively reasonable.

See United States v. Daniels, 541 F.3d 915, 921-24 (9th Cir. 2008).

AFFIRMED.